

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/597,523	07/28/2006	Rudy Musschebroeck	NL 050110	2332	
24737 PHILIPS INTI	7590 07/28/200 ELLECTUAL PROPER	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 300	1	PENG, FRED H			
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2426		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/28/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/597,523		MUSSCHEBROECK ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	FRED PENG	2426		

	FRED PENG	2426					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 15 July 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
1. Me The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, application, application, application, application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) in the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TN							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date where the first is the date for purposes of otsetmining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wit AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since a				
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	ut prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	cause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belov	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):     Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed.		timely filed amendmer	t canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov		l be entered and an e	planation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
13. Other:							
/Joseph P. Hirl/							
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2426 July 27, 2009							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

In reference to Applicant's arguments

(a) In claim 1, Applicants respectfully submit that Goldstein does not disclose communicating to remote server 700 an indication of a plurality of sources that are available to supply content to an audio/video device. The Office Action states that Goldstein discloses this at col. 7, line 67 - col. 8, line 6. Applicants respectfully disagree. The cited text merely discloses that data embedded in a television signal may be transferred to remote control device 5. The text does not disclose communicating anything to remote server 700 - indeed, the text does not mention remote server 700 at all.

Examiner's response

(a) The Examiner respectfully disagrees. The remote control device receives an indication of a plurality of sources that are available to supply content to an audiovideo device through the channel of data embedded in a television signal can be broadly interpreted as communicating to remote server since related program data is inherently stored in the remote server or equivalent.

In reference to Applicant's arguments

(b) Applicants also respectfully submit that Goldstein does not disclose receiving at remote control device 5 from database 700 respective representations of respective ones of the sources for being rendered at a user interface of the control device 5. Familinef's response.

(b) The Examiner disagrees. Citation from Col 8 lines 42-45, 65-76 of Goldstein in view of citations from Col 32 - Col 36 reads on the limitations of "receiving at remote control device 5 from database 700 respective representations of respective ones of the sources for being rendered at a user interface of the control device". The remote control device 5 is able to download program menu from database 700 through telephone interface so a user can select a program from the program menu displayed on the remote control for viewing or recording reads into these limitations.

In reference to Applicant's arguments

(c) Applicants further argues about claims 2-9 and 11.

Examiner's response

(c) The Examiner also disagrees. Refer Examiner's final rejection 05/15/09 for response.